

Octonionic Determinants

Chris Skalit

Rutgers University

cskalit@eden.rutgers.edu

Mentor: Prof. Robert Lee Wilson

The Ostensible Impasse

Recall the following:

- The familiar notion of determinant rests upon the commutativity and associativity of the underlying field.
- The Octonions are an 8-dimensional, non-associative, non-commutative algebra over the reals.

Bridging the Chasm... Halfway

- For square matrices over an associative (but not necessarily commutative) ring, we have at our disposal Gelfand and Retakh's quasideterminant.
- Unlike the determinant, which assigns a value to the entire matrix, A , one quasideterminant (when it exists) is assigned to each (i,j) entry and is denoted $[A]_{i,j}$
- In the commutative case where $\det(A)$ exists, we have

$$[A]_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A^{i,j})}$$

Quasideterminant Definition

- Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over a ring, $\mathbf{R}$ (not necessarily commutative).
- Suppose that A may be row reduced to the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

- No rows are interchanged.
- The n th is not scaled.
- The n th row is not added to any other.
- Then $[A]_{n,n} = c$.
- If P permutes the i^{th} and n th rows and Q the j^{th} and n^{th} columns then $[A]_{i,j} = [PAQ]_{n,n}$ (provided that the latter exists)

Implementing the Quasideterminant

- Before applying quasideterminants to Octonionic matrices, we must first somehow address the non-associativity of Octonion multiplication.
- We may circumvent this problem altogether by restricting our attention to cases in which non-associativity is a moot point.

Hermitian Matrices Over $\mathbb{O}$

- Let A be a 2 by 2 Hermitian matrix. Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \bar{x} & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{O}$$

- We will compute $[A]_{2,2}$ directly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \bar{x} & b \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}x \\ \bar{x} & b \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}x \\ 0 & b - \bar{x}(a^{-1}x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[A]_{2,2} = b - \bar{x}(a^{-1}x)$$

Exploiting Algebraic Properties of $\mathbf{O}$

- We have

$$[A]_{2,2} = b - \bar{x}(a^{-1}x)$$

- Since $\mathbf{O}$ is a real algebra and simultaneously contains $\mathbf{R}$ as a subalgebra, any real number commutes and associates with all of $\mathbf{O}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}[A]_{2,2} &= b - (\bar{x}a^{-1})x \\ &= b - a^{-1}(\bar{x}x)\end{aligned}$$

- In view of the conjugate norm relationship, we have

$$[A]_{2,2} = b - a^{-1}\|x\|^2$$

From Quasi to “Total” Determinant

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \bar{x} & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{O}$$
$$[A^{2,2}]_{1,1} = a \quad [A]_{2,2} = b - a^{-1} \|x\|^2$$

- Recall that if **O** *were* commutative and associative, the following identity would apply:

$$[A]_{2,2} = \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A^{2,2})} = \frac{\det(A)}{[A^{2,2}]_{1,1}}$$

- Upon multiplying through, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [A^{2,2}]_{1,1} [A]_{2,2} &= a(b - a^{-1} \|x\|^2) \\ &= ab - \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

- We shall regard this function as **n(A)**. To what extent does it resemble a commutative determinant?

- **Theorem:** Let A be a 2×2 Hermitian, Octonionic matrix. Then A has a unique inverse (under standard matrix multiplication) if and only if $n(A) \neq 0$. Furthermore, A^{-1} , when it exists, is, itself, Hermitian.

3×3 Hermitian Matrices

- Under the multiplication rule, $A \cdot B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, the space of 3x3 Hermitian matrices over the Octonions becomes a Jordan algebra.
- Jacobson showed that when A is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & a_3 & \overline{a_2} \\ \overline{a_3} & \alpha_2 & a_1 \\ a_2 & \overline{a_1} & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{O}$$

A has minimal polynomial whose constant term is given by

$$\mathbf{n}(A) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + 2\mathbf{Re}((a_3 a_1) a_2) - \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \|a_i\|^2$$

$\mathbf{n}(A)$ Recast

- As $\mathbf{n}$ is the best candidate for “determinant,” we ask whether we may express it in terms of quasideterminants.

$$\begin{aligned} [A^{32,32}]_{1,1} &= \alpha_1 \\ [A^{3,3}]_{2,2} &= \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^{-1} \|a_3\|^2 \\ [A]_{3,3} &= (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1^{-1} \|a_2\|^2) - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1^{-1} \|a_3\|^2)^{-1} \|\overline{a_1} - \alpha_1^{-1} a_2 a_3\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Indeed, it is the case that

$$\mathbf{n}(A) = [A^{32,32}]_{1,1} [A^{3,3}]_{2,2} [A]_{3,3}$$